



#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	1					
B.1218 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/FR2004/000882	09.04.2004	16.04.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or r	ational classification and IPC					
Applicant						
SNPE MATERIAUX ENER	GETIOUES					
This report is the international prounder Article 35 and transmitted to	eliminary examination report, estable the applicant according to Article 30	ished by this International Preliminary Examining Authority				
2. This REPORT consists of a total o	f 5 sl	neets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant a	nd to the International Bureau) a tota	1.5				
		al ofsheets, as follows:				
		hority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
sheets which sup-	ersede earlier sheets, but which this	Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond				
the disclosure in Box.	the international application as filed	, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental				
b (sent to the Internation	al Bureau only) a total of (indicate ty	pe and number of electronic carrier(s))				
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
related thereto, in compu Section 802 of the Admir	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications rel	ating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of t	he report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-esta	hlishment of oninion with regard to a	ovelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
		overy, inventive sep and industrial applicability				
	nity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain d	ocuments cited					
Box No. VII Certain d	efects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain o	bservations on the international appli	cation				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of con	ripletion of this report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized	officer				
and annual and a second in the second	Authorized					
Facsimile No.	Telephone	No.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/000882

Вох	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		n regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless	otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)					
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/					
2.	recei		egard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the ang Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to				
		the international application as originally filed/furnished					
	$\boxtimes$	the description:					
		pages 1-16	as originally file	d/furnished			
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:					
		nos. 1-20	as originally file	d/furnished			
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under	r Article 19			
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	·			
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:					
		sheets 1/3-3/3	as originally file	:d/furnished			
		sheets*	received by this Authority on				
		sheets*					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil		made, since			
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.	the claims, nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs	the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):	the sequence listing (specify):				
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
	If ite	rm 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."				

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/000882

Box	x No. II Priority
1.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
2.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000882

Вол			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1, 11, 19	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	2-10, 12-18, 20	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		_ NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)		

- 1. In the present report, reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: GB 1 252 984 A (USM CORPORATION) 10 November 1971 (1971-11-10)
  - D2: GB 951 620 A (DOW CHEMICAL CO) 11 March 1964 (1964-03-11)
- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 The present invention according to claim 1 is not clear within the meaning of PCT Article 6. The expression pyrotechnic micro-charge does not appear to have features clearly defining it. From the point of view of the reader, any small charge may be understood to be a micro-charge. The set of claims as filed cannot be accepted without delimitation sufficient to clarify the subject matter thereof.
- 2.2 Detonating explosive charges using means for applying heat is a known method. D1 and D2 propose clear solutions in this respect. A heating process using means for passing electricity through a conductor is also known. The Examination Division, taking into account the above-mentioned lack of clarity, does not

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000882

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

find the difference between a method for detonating explosives using electric heating known from the prior art and the subject matter of the present claims. Therefore, the present application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), since the subject matter of claim 1 does not comply with the criterion of novelty as defined by PCT Article 33(2).

The same reasoning can be applied to the other independent claims 11 and 19.

#### 3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

The claims do not appear to contain any features which, when combined with the features of the claims to which they refer, meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step of PCT Article 33(2) and (3), since they specify technical details within the normal practice of a person skilled in the art.

It is not currently possible to know which part of the application might be used as a basis for an acceptable new claim. However, if certain elements appear patentable to the applicant company, it is invited to justify this point of view, indicating in its reply any difference relative to the prior art and specifying the importance attributed thereto. Pursuant to the provisions of EPC Rule 29(1), it would also be advisable to file an independent claim in which these particular elements appear.